

## DISTRIBUTION OF COMMITTING COUNTY FOR INMATES RELEASED FY 2005

COMMITTING COUNTY	BLACK MALES		BLACK FEMALES		WHITE MALES		WHITE FEMALES		OTHER MALES		OTHER FEMALES		TOTAL		RANK*
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
ABBEVILLE	54	0.7%	4	0.5%	24	0.6%	7	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	89	0.7%	37
AIKEN	214	2.7%	22	2.7%	123	3.2%	22	2.9%	8	4.5%	2	9.5%	391	2.9%	10
ALLENDALE	35	0.4%	8	1.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	44	0.3%	44
ANDERSON	261	3.3%	33	4.1%	262	6.8%	54	7.2%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	611	4.5%	8
BAMBERG	26	0.3%	3	0.4%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	31	0.2%	43
BARNWELL	62	0.8%	7	0.9%	14	0.4%	2	0.3%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	86	0.6%	36
BEAUFORT	132	1.7%	8	1.0%	26	0.7%	9	1.2%	6	3.4%	0	0.0%	181	1.3%	23
BERKELEY	162	2.0%	11	1.4%	106	2.8%	14	1.9%	3	1.7%	1	4.8%	297	2.2%	13
CALHOUN	34	0.4%	0	0.0%	4	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	38	0.3%	46
CHARLESTON	871	10.9%	57	7.1%	191	5.0%	30	4.0%	12	6.7%	1	4.8%	1,162	8.6%	3
CHEROKEE	98	1.2%	8	1.0%	99	2.6%	21	2.8%	3	1.7%	1	4.8%	230	1.7%	22
CHESTER	50	0.6%	1	0.1%	19	0.5%	1	0.1%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	72	0.5%	32
CHESTERFIELD	77	1.0%	7	0.9%	31	0.8%	9	1.2%	1	0.6%	1	4.8%	126	0.9%	30
CLARENDON	77	1.0%	4	0.5%	21	0.5%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	104	0.8%	27
COLLETION	57	0.7%	8	1.0%	27	0.7%	3	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	95	0.7%	33
DARLINGTON	124	1.6%	13	1.6%	49	1.3%	4	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	190	1.4%	19
DILLON	82	1.0%	4	0.5%	21	0.5%	3	0.4%	3	1.7%	1	4.8%	114	0.8%	35
DORCHESTER	152	1.9%	12	1.5%	112	2.9%	16	2.1%	6	3.4%	0	0.0%	298	2.2%	15
EDGEFIELD	61	0.8%	3	0.4%	7	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	72	0.5%	34
FAIRFIELD	39	0.5%	8	1.0%	12	0.3%	3	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	62	0.5%	42
FLORENCE	419	5.3%	50	6.2%	112	2.9%	28	3.7%	4	2.2%	1	4.8%	614	4.5%	5
GEOGETOWN	159	2.0%	13	1.6%	45	1.2%	4	0.5%	2	1.1%	0	0.0%	223	1.6%	20
GREENVILLE	713	9.0%	104	12.9%	547	14.2%	126	16.7%	39	21.9%	3	14.3%	1,532	11.3%	1
GREENWOOD	225	2.8%	33	4.1%	79	2.1%	21	2.8%	6	3.4%	0	0.0%	364	2.7%	12
HAMPTON	41	0.5%	2	0.2%	10	0.3%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	54	0.4%	41
HORRY	324	4.1%	36	4.5%	277	7.2%	56	7.4%	12	6.7%	2	9.5%	707	5.2%	7
JASPER	46	0.6%	2	0.2%	11	0.3%	5	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	65	0.5%	40
KERSHAW	88	1.1%	11	1.4%	51	1.3%	9	1.2%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	160	1.2%	28
LANCASTER	95	1.2%	6	0.7%	62	1.6%	12	1.6%	4	2.2%	0	0.0%	179	1.3%	18
LAURENS	113	1.4%	8	1.0%	82	2.1%	29	3.8%	3	1.7%	0	0.0%	235	1.7%	16
LEE	58	0.7%	1	0.1%	8	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	67	0.5%	39
LEXINGTON	216	2.7%	12	1.5%	158	4.1%	27	3.6%	10	5.6%	0	0.0%	423	3.1%	9
MARION	131	1.6%	14	1.7%	13	0.3%	7	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	165	1.2%	25
MARBORO	78	1.0%	5	0.6%	29	0.8%	1	0.1%	5	2.8%	0	0.0%	118	0.9%	26
MCCORMICK	20	0.3%	1	0.1%	6	0.2%	2	0.3%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	30	0.2%	45
NEWBERRY	85	1.1%	8	1.0%	27	0.7%	6	0.8%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	127	0.9%	24
OCONEE	42	0.5%	5	0.6%	93	2.4%	16	2.1%	3	1.7%	1	4.8%	160	1.2%	21
ORANGEBURG	221	2.8%	21	2.6%	33	0.9%	12	1.6%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	288	2.1%	14
PICKENS	64	0.8%	8	1.0%	173	4.5%	22	2.9%	2	1.1%	0	0.0%	269	2.0%	17
RICHLAND	939	11.8%	123	15.2%	148	3.9%	27	3.6%	7	3.9%	0	0.0%	1,244	9.2%	2
SALUDA	56	0.7%	7	0.9%	25	0.7%	2	0.3%	4	2.2%	0	0.0%	94	0.7%	38
SPARTANBURG	432	5.4%	56	6.9%	339	8.8%	80	10.6%	14	7.9%	3	14.3%	924	6.8%	4
SUMTER	261	3.3%	14	1.7%	56	1.5%	10	1.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	342	2.5%	11
UNION	80	1.0%	11	1.4%	45	1.2%	12	1.6%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	149	1.1%	31
WILLIAMSBURG	72	0.9%	5	0.6%	6	0.2%	4	0.5%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	88	0.6%	29
YORK	314	3.9%	31	3.8%	254	6.6%	36	4.8%	11	6.2%	2	9.5%	648	4.8%	6
OUT OF STATE	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7,961</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>3,842</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>13,565</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	

\* Ranking is in descending order according to the number of commitments; the county having the largest number of total commitments is ranked one.